arXiv:physics/9702028v1 [physics.atom-ph] 25 Feb 1997

Radiative Corrections to the Light Muonic Atoms Decay Rate

Savely G. Karshenboim[a,*] and Vladimir G. Ivanov[b],

[a] D. I. Mendeleev Institute for Metrology,
198005, St. Petersburg, Russia
[b] Pulkovo Observatory, 196140, St. Petersburg, Russia

Short title: Light Muonic Atoms Decay

PACS: 31.30.Jv 36.10.Dr 32.70.Jz

---

[*] E-mail: sgk@onti.vniim.spb.su; karshenboim@phim.niif.spb.su

Abstract

Radiative corrections to the decay rate in the low-Z hydrogen-like muonic atoms are considered. The correction arises from the Uehling potential and it has the relative order of α. The numerical results are reported for the $2p \to 1s$ transition for the atom with the nuclear charge value up to $Z = 10$.

The work is devoted to a calculation of the radiative corrections to the decay rate in the light muonic atoms. Those arise from the electronic vacuum polarization effects and it is expected to be on the percent level. The percents level has also been obtained measuring the ratio of some lines intensity in several muonic atoms with not too high value of the nuclear charge Z (see for details Ref. [1] and references there).

The non-relativistic expressions for the decay rate and intensity are well known:

$$\Gamma(\lambda' \to \lambda) = \frac{4\omega^3_{\lambda'\lambda}}{3} |\mathbf{d}_{\lambda'\lambda}|^2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$I(\lambda' \to \lambda) = \frac{4\omega^4_{\lambda'\lambda}}{3} |\mathbf{d}_{\lambda'\lambda}|^2. \tag{2}$$

where relativistic units in which $\hbar = c = 1$ and $\alpha = e^2$ are used. The leading one-loop radiative correction in the low-Z muonic atoms can be approximated adequately by only the Uehling potential term, which corresponds to a non-relativistic electrostatic potential[1]. Thus, the equations given above are also valid for the radiative corrections, for which the energies and the dipole matrix elements have to be calculated for a Schrödinger particle in a combined potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = V_C(\mathbf{r}) + V_U(\mathbf{r}), \tag{3}$$

where the first term corresponds to the Coulomb field and the other associates with the vacuum polarization effects.

---

[1] The situation is in contrast with that for the ”usual” (electronic) atom, where the main radiative contribution is due to the self energy of an electron in the Coulomb field.

Before calculating directly, the correction can be easy estimated. The estimate may be based on the fact that the Uehling potential yields the energy shifts $\Delta E_U(nl)$ close to those arisen from the delta-like potential

$$V_\delta(\mathbf{r}) = A\,\delta(\mathbf{r}). \tag{4}$$

The unequalities

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\Delta E_U(1s) - 8\Delta E_U(2s)}{\Delta E_U(1s)} < 1,$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \left|\frac{\Delta E_U(2p)}{\Delta E_U(2s)}\right| < 1,$$

for the Uehling potential (see Table 1) have to be compared with the equation

$$\delta_{1,2} = 0$$

for the delta-like potential.

In case of the potential of eq. (4) the decay rate correction is known (see Ref. [2] for the $2p \to 1s$ transition and Ref. [3] for the transitions between some higher states with $n \leq 4$). The results for the $2p \to 1s$ decay are

$$\Delta\Gamma_\delta \simeq -0.98\,R_\delta\,\Gamma^{(0)} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\Delta I_\delta \simeq -2.32\,R_\delta\,I^{(0)}, \tag{6}$$

where the unperturbated values of $\Gamma^{(0)}$ and $I^{(0)}$ are determined from eq. (1) and eq. (2) within the pure Coulomb field, the effective parameter

$$R_\delta = \frac{\Delta E_{1s}}{E_0},$$

is expressed in terms of the energy shift of the ground state by the potential and an analog of the Rydberg constant

$$E_0 = \frac{m_R (Z\alpha)^2}{2}$$

including the reduced mass of the muonic atom m_R.

The results for the $2p \to 1s$ transition of the estimate in the nuclear charge range $1 - 10$ are summarized in Table 1. The final corrections for the delta-like potential of eq. (4) presented in Table 2 are results of some cancellation of the E-contributions (corrections to the frequency ω in eq. (1) and eq. (2)) and Ψ-contributions (corrections to the dipole matrix element). Hence, the uncertainties of the estimates in Table 1 may be higher than naïve expectation on the level of $max\{|\delta_1|, \delta_2\}$.

For higher value of Z another reason may be used for estimating. The non-relativistic decay rate and the intensity are proportional to $(Z\alpha)^4$ and to $(Z\alpha)^6$, respectively. The vacuum polarization effects can be also expressed in terms of the running coupling constant

$$Z\alpha(q) = Z\alpha \cdot \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{3} \log \frac{q^2}{m_e^2} - \frac{5}{9} \right) \right\}, \tag{7}$$

and for the muonic atomic states the characteristic value

$$q(nl) = \frac{Z\alpha m_R}{n}$$

is to be used for calculating. The corrections to the rate and the intensity evaluated according their scaling in $(Z\alpha)$

$$\frac{\Delta\Gamma_\alpha}{\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}} = 4 \times \frac{1}{3\pi}\left(\log\frac{(Z\alpha m_R)^2}{m_e^2} - \frac{5}{3}\right), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{\Delta I_\alpha}{\alpha I^{(0)}} = 6 \times \frac{1}{3\pi}\left(\log\frac{(Z\alpha m_R)^2}{m_e^2} - \frac{5}{3}\right) \tag{9}$$

are contained in Table 3. The uncertainty arisen from the discrepancy between $q(1s)$ and $q(2p)$ is assumed to be on the level of

$$\delta_\alpha = \frac{\log 2^2}{\log\frac{(Z\alpha m_R)^2}{m_e^2} - \frac{5}{3}}.$$

The estimate based on value of $q(1s)$ should be rather higher than the exact value.

The results of the straightforward calculation for the $2p \to 1s$ transition for the nuclear charge Z up to 10 are summarized in Table 4. Their evaluation is based on eq. (1) and eq. (2) and the corrections ($\Delta\Gamma_U$ and ΔI_U) are defined as the differences between the Uehling potential results and the pure Coulomb ones. The main terms are labeled by superscripts s and p associated with the state ($1s$ and $2p$), which the correction is computed for, and by subscripts E and Ψ corresponded to the value (the energy and frequency or the wave function and dipole matrix element), which the correction is calculated to. The table includes the separated contributions only to the rate. The terms for the intensity are of the form

$$\frac{\Delta I_E}{\alpha I^{(0)}} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\Delta\Gamma_E}{\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}}$$

and

$$\frac{\Delta I_\Psi}{\alpha I^{(0)}} = \frac{\Delta\Gamma_\Psi}{\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}}.$$

One can see that the p-state contributions are small enough and the estimates by means of the delta-like potential approach (see Table 1) have to be adequate. For the decay rate, when the cancellation between E- and Ψ-contributions is radical, and the estimates and the direct results are in agreement within 2δ. The estimate by the running constant technique (see Table 3) is in satisfactory agreement within $1.5\,\delta_\alpha$ and the agreement is better for the higher value of Z. We expect that this non-relativistic estimation is reliable within range of the nuclear charge Z up to 30. For estimating in the both ways of the intensity the errors are lower. Estimating according the methods outlined above is also expected to be reliable for any transitions between low lying levels.

The radiative correction is found to be on the one-percent level for the nuclear charge $Z = 6 - 10$ and it is expected to be larger for higher Z. Thus, this effect has to be taken into consideration for interpreting of the intensity ratio measurement in the muonic atoms.

This work has been supported in part by grant # 95-02-03977 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

References

[1] C. J. Orth, M. E. Schilaci, and J. D. Knight, Phys. Rev. **A25** (1982) 876.

[2] S. G. Karshenboim, ZhETF **106** (1994) 414 /in Russian/; JETP **79** (1994) 230; Yad. Fiz. **58** (1995) 901 /in Russian/; Phys. At. Nucl. **58** (1995) 835; ZhETF **107** (1995) 1061 /in Russian/; JETP **80** (1995) 593.

[3] V. G. Ivanov and S. G. Karshenboim, Phys. Lett. **A210** (1996) 313; ZhETF **109** (1996) 1219 /in Russian/; JETP **82** (1996) 656.

Z	$-R_\delta/\alpha$	δ_1	δ_2	$\Delta\Gamma_\delta/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta I_\delta/\alpha I^{(0)}$
1	0.10	8%	7%	0.10	0.24
2	0.24	12%	20%	0.23	0.54
3	0.34	11%	31%	0.33	0.78
4	0.42	10%	40%	0.41	0.97
5	0.49	8%	46%	0.48	1.1
6	0.55	5%	52%	0.54	1.3
7	0.60	3%	56%	0.59	1.4
8	0.65	1%	59%	0.64	1.5
9	0.69	-1%	62%	0.68	1.6
10	0.73	-2%	64%	0.72	1.7

Table 1. The estimates of the radiative correction arisen from the delta-like potential calculation.

Correction	E-contribution	Ψ-contribution	Total
$\Delta\Gamma_\delta/R_\delta\Gamma^{(0)}$	-4.00	3.02	-0.98
$\Delta I_\delta/R_\delta I^{(0)}$	-5.33	3.02	-2.32

Table 2. The main contributions to the delta-like potential calculation for the $2p \to 1s$ transition [2, 3].

Z	δ_α	$\Delta\Gamma_\alpha/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta I_\alpha/\alpha I^{(0)}$
3	100%	0.6	0.9
4	72%	0.8	1.2
5	58%	1.0	1.5
6	51%	1.2	1.7
7	46%	1.3	1.9
8	42%	1.4	2.1
9	39%	1.5	2.3
10	37%	1.6	2.4
15	30%	1.9	2.9
20	27%	2.2	3.3
25	25%	2.4	3.6
30	23%	2.5	3.8

Table 3. The estimates of the radiative correction inferred from the running constant.

Z	$\Delta\Gamma^s_E/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta\Gamma^p_E/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta\Gamma^s_\Psi/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta\Gamma^p_\Psi/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)}$	$\Delta\Gamma^{tot}_U/\alpha\Gamma^{(0)})$	$\Delta I^{tot}_U/\alpha I^{(0)})$
1	0.41	$-3{\cdot}10^{-3}$	-0.30	0.01	0.12	0.26
2	0.94	-0.02	-0.65	0.05	0.32	0.63
3	1.3	-0.05	-0.90	0.10	0.51	0.94
4	1.7	-0.07	-1.1	0.16	0.66	1.2
5	2.0	-0.10	-1.3	0.21	0.80	1.4
6	2.2	-0.13	-1.4	0.25	0.93	1.6
7	2.4	-0.16	-1.5	0.29	1.0	1.8
8	2.6	-0.19	-1.6	0.33	1.1	1.9
9	2.8	-0.21	-1.7	0.36	1.2	2.1
10	2.9	-0.24	-1.8	0.40	1.3	2.2

Table 4. The straightforward results for the radiative correction for the $2p \to 1s$ transition.